

DSABC OFFICIAL JUDGING SCORESHEET

Judge's Name:

Room:

Round: 1 2 3 4 5

Novice | Junior | Senior

<u>1st Affirmative / 1st Proposition</u>				<u>Team Code</u>		<u>1st Negative / 1st Opposition</u>				<u>Team Code</u>	
Name:						Name:					
<u>Criteria</u>	Poor (15)	Weak (16)	Avg. (17)	Good (18)	Great (19)	<u>Criteria</u>	Poor (15)	Weak (16)	Avg. (17)	Good (18)	Great (19)
Organization/ Structure						Organization/ Structure					
Evidence/ Analysis						Evidence/ Analysis					
Rebuttal/ Clash						Rebuttal/ Clash					
Delivery/ Etiquette						Delivery/ Etiquette					
Questioning/ Responding						Questioning/ Responding					
Comments:				Final Score (75-95)		Comments:				Final Score (75-95)	
				<div style="border: 2px solid black; width: 60px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>						<div style="border: 2px solid black; width: 60px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>	

<u>2nd Affirmative / 2nd Proposition</u>				<u>Team Code</u>		<u>2nd Negative / 2nd Opposition</u>				<u>Team Code</u>	
Name:						Name:					
<u>Criteria</u>	Poor (15)	Weak (16)	Avg. (17)	Good (18)	Great (19)	<u>Criteria</u>	Poor (15)	Weak (16)	Avg. (17)	Good (18)	Great (19)
Organization/ Structure						Organization/ Structure					
Evidence/ Analysis						Evidence/ Analysis					
Rebuttal/ Clash						Rebuttal/ Clash					
Delivery/ Etiquette						Delivery/ Etiquette					
Questioning/ Responding						Questioning/ Responding					
Comments:				Final Score (75-95)		Comments:				Final Score (75-95)	
				<div style="border: 2px solid black; width: 60px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>						<div style="border: 2px solid black; width: 60px; height: 40px; margin: 0 auto;"></div>	

Total Proposition Team Score: (Sum of Speaker 1 and 2) <div style="border: 2px solid black; width: 150px; height: 40px; margin-left: 20px;"></div>	Total Opposition Team Score: (Sum of Speaker 1 and 2) <div style="border: 2px solid black; width: 150px; height: 40px; margin-left: 20px;"></div>
--	---

I therefore award this debate to team: **Proposition** / **Opposition**
 (Please Circle)

Signed: _____

DSABC – Official Scoring Range Descriptions

The purpose of the table below is to give judges a guideline for scoring a debate and to help maintain consistency between judges. Please note that the level of tournament being judged (whether regional or provincial) should have an effect on the scoring percentages given.

<u>Score (/100)</u>	<u>Description</u>	<u>Regional Score %</u>	<u>Provincial Score %</u>
>95	A debater receiving this score would be perfect and the best debater in Canada. This score would virtually never be given and could only be given only with the permission of the Tournament Organizer.	0.1	0.1
92 – 95 Excellent	Scores in this range are for the best debaters in a tournament; those with exceptional skills beyond what you have seen from other students. Speeches in this range should all be articulate, learned, eloquent, and captivating.	4.9	9.9
88 – 91 Good	Debaters in this range are likely some of the better debaters in the tournament. They definitely take control of the debate, and their arguments, rebuttal and questioning skills combine to build a convincing case. They are able to convey their ideas in an interesting, well-proven, and engaging manner and be able to clash directly and specifically with their opponents' points. Debaters in this range may not be the top students in the tournament but will be in the running for awards.	20	25
83 – 87 Average	An average debater, one who is able to provide the expected arguments and speak well. A student at this level may be beginning to formulate persuasive arguments, and should show some insight into the topic. They clash adequately with the opposing team and are able to rebut most of their opponents' points.	35	35
79 – 82 Weak	Students in this range are beginning to learn debating skills and may be good public speakers or good at arguing but perhaps not both. They understand the format but are unable to provide clash for the other team, and may use repetition as a form of rebuttal. These are slightly below-average debaters.	30	25
75 – 78 Poor	This range reflects a debater who is having difficulties with the topic, rules and/or format. They may be very hesitant speakers, asking few if any questions, and providing few if any rebuttal points. However, they are trying and may improve as they gain experience.	9.9	4.9
<75 Inadequate	Scores in this range are for debaters who are the worst in the tournament, either because they say very, very little, are completely unfamiliar with the rules and/or format, or are purposely offensive. This score would rarely be given and could only be given only with the permission of the Tournament Organizer.	0.1	0.1